

Combinatorial Networks  
May 20th-21st, Thursday

• **Thm1(Strong Perfect Graph Theorem):**

$G$  is perfect iff  $G$  has no odd hole nor the complement of odd hole.

**Remark** “odd hole” is the induced odd cycle of length  $\leq 5$ .

Given  $G$ , define  $A = A(G)$  as follow:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & ij \notin E(G), \\ x_{ij}, & ij \in E(G) \text{ and } i < j, \\ -x_{ji}, & ij \in E(G) \text{ and } i > j, \end{cases}$$

• **Thm2(Tuttes/Lovàsz):**  $G$  has a perfect matching iff  $Det(A) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall that

$$Det(A) = \sum_{\pi} sign(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\pi(i)}.$$

For  $\forall \pi$ ,  $E_{\pi} \stackrel{\text{Def}}{=} \{(i, \pi(i)) \in E(G), \forall i\}$ . So

$$Det(A) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \\ E_{\pi} \subseteq E(G)}} sign(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\pi(i)}.$$

Note that  $E_{\pi}$  is a collection of cycle in  $G$ .

Let  $\vec{E}_{\pi} = \{\overrightarrow{(i, E(i))} : \forall i\}$ . Then  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$  is a collection of directed cycles.

**Observation:**  $G$  has a PM iff there exists  $\pi$  such that  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$  is a collection of even directed cycles.

• **Claim1:** If  $G$  has a PM, then  $Det(A) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(i, t(i))$  be a PM in  $G$ , and consider the permutation  $\pi : i \mapsto t(i), t(i) \mapsto i$ .

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\pi(i)} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{(i,t(i)) \in M} x_{it(i)}^2.$$

This type of monomials cannot be cancelled by others. So  $Det(A) \neq 0$ .

• **Claim2:** If  $G$  has no PM, then  $Det(A) \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* By induction, for  $\forall \pi$  for which  $\prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\pi(i)} \neq 0$ ,  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$  has an odd directed cycle. Let  $\vec{C}_{\pi}$  be the odd cycle in  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$  which contains the “smallest” vertex. We will pair those non-zero monomials  $\prod A_{i\pi(i)}$  in a way that one cancels the other.

For each  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$ , let  $f(\vec{E}_{\pi})$  be the graph obtained from  $\vec{E}_{\pi}$  by reversing the direction of the arcs in  $\vec{C}_{\pi}$ , then  $f(f(\vec{E}_{\pi})) = \vec{E}_{\pi}$ . So we have a pairing of  $\{\vec{E}_{\pi} : \pi\}$ .

Let  $\vec{E}_{\pi'} = f(\vec{E}_{\pi})$ . Then

- (1)  $sign(\pi) = sign(\pi')$  ;
- (2)  $\prod A_{i\pi'(i)} = (-1)^{|V(C_\pi)|} \prod A_{i\pi(i)} = (-1) \prod A_{i\pi(i)}$ .

So  $Det(A) \equiv 0$ . ■

- **Thm3:**  $ex(n, C_{2t}) = O(tn^{1+\frac{1}{t}})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not, then there exists  $C_{2t}$ -free graph  $G$  with  $\Omega(tn^{1+\frac{1}{t}})$  edges. So there is  $G' \subset G$ , which is bipartite and  $\delta(G') = \Omega(tn^{\frac{1}{t}})$ . Consider  $G'$  and the BFS-tree:

Fix  $v \in V(G')$ , define:

$$L_0 = \{v\}, L_1 = N(V);$$

$$L_{i+1} = \{w \in V(G') \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^i L_j : \exists u \in L_i, uw \in E(G')\} = N(L_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^i L_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1.$$

- **Claim1:** For  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $e(L_{i-1} \cup L_i) \leq 4t(|L_{i-1}| + |L_i|)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not, then  $G'(L_{i-1} \cup L_i)$  has a bipartite  $G_0$  with  $\delta(G_0) \geq 4t$ . So  $G_0$  has a cycle  $C$  of length  $\geq 4t$ , which is even. Assume  $C = x_1-x_2 \cdots -x_{4t} \cdots -x_{2s}-x_1$ .

**Lemma:** Let  $C$  be a cycle with an arc and  $V(C) = X \cup Y$  ( $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ ), then for  $2 \leq 2i \leq \frac{1}{2}|C|$ , there exists an  $X$ - $Y$  path of length  $2i$ . ( $X$ - $Y$  path is a path of  $C$  from  $x \in X$  to  $y \in Y$ )

Let  $T' \subset T$  be the minimal subtree containing all  $L_{i-1} \cap C$ . Since  $T'$  is minimal,  $T'$  has at least 2 branches, one of which is  $B_1$ .

Let  $X = B_1 \cap C$ ,  $Y = C \setminus X$ .  $\forall a \in X, b \in Y \cap L_{i-1}, \exists a$ - $b$  path of length  $2h \leq 2(t-1)$ . (Assume  $h$  is the height of  $T'$ ) Pick  $2i$  such that  $2i+2h = 2t$ . By lemma, there exists  $X$ - $Y$  path  $P$  in  $C$  of length  $2i$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . Since  $P$  is of even,  $x \in X$  implies that  $y \in Y \cap L_{i-1} = T' \cap L_{i-1} - B_1$ . But in  $T'$ ,  $\exists x$ - $y$  path  $Q$  of length  $2h$ . So  $P \cup Q$  is a cycle of length  $2i + 2h = 2t$ . A contradiction!

- **Claim2:**  $|L_{i+1}| \geq |L_i| \Omega(n^{\frac{1}{t}})$

*Proof.* We have:

$$(1) \quad e(L_{i-1} \cup L_i) + e(L_i \cup L_{i-1}) = \sum_{v \in L_i} d_{G'}(v) \geq |L_i| \Omega(tn^{\frac{1}{t}});$$

$$(2) \quad e(L_{i-1} \cup L_i) \leq 4t(|L_{i-1}| + |L_i|);$$

$$(3) \quad e(L_i \cup L_{i+1}) \leq 4t(|L_i| + |L_{i+1}|).$$

So  $4t(|L_{i-1}| + 2|L_i| + |L_{i+1}|) \geq |L_i| \Omega(tn^{\frac{1}{t}}) \Rightarrow |L_{i-1}| + 2|L_i| + |L_{i+1}| \geq |L_i| \Omega(tn^{\frac{1}{t}}) \Rightarrow |L_{i+1}| \geq |L_i| \Omega(tn^{\frac{1}{t}})$ .

It's done as  $|L(t)| = \Omega(n)$ . ■